

## MULTIFUNCTION 4 AND 8 CHANNEL ELECTROPROSPECTING INSTRUMENTS OF THE GENERATION 5+

*Igor Ingerov, Advanced Geophysical Operations and Services Inc. (AGCOS), Toronto, Canada*

### Abstract

Appearance at the turn of the century of the 5th generation multifunction electroprospecting instruments has made significant contribution to the development of electroprospecting techniques. New properties of the equipment include - light weight, compact, low power consumption, simple operation, high accuracy of the recorded parameters. One of the defining features is the application of 24-bit ADC. Technological advancements in the microprocessor development and computer technology allowed significantly improving hardware capabilities of the 5th generation instruments, i.e. going to 5+. Super multifunction 4 and 8 channel receivers can be used in both autonomous and manual modes (operator control). Receivers have intuitive user interface implemented on touch-screen display and several communication modes with a PC, touchpads, and smartphone. Instruments have flexible configuration of electric and magnetic channels (channels are interchangeable), which allows to use same receiver for such seemingly incompatible methods - Electrical Profiling, IP, Electrotomography, TDEM, MT and MVP. Application of wideband high frequency ADCs and corresponding processors can significantly improve the frequency range of field investigations, as well as noise filtering capabilities. Data acquisition systems are equipped with a wideband electric and magnetic components EM field sensors, as well as a wideband portable transmitter.

### Introduction

For mining and groundwater exploration, it is often necessary to combine several electroprospecting methods for a complete solution of the problem. Typically, hardware and software for different geophysical methods is manufactured by different companies with different ideology. In addition to the inconvenience associated with the use of hardware and software with different ideology, there is also a serious drawback associated with the price indices for both the customer and the contractor. The idea of developing a multifunction apparatus implementing many ground EM methods with a common ideology of processing and interpreting the data has been held by geophysicists from the 1980s of the last century. At the turn of the 1980s and 1990s several companies (Phoenix, Zonge) managed to achieve positive results. The company has created a Phoenix 4<sup>th</sup> generation multi-functional devices based on V-5. The device could implement resistivity, TDEM (1 channel), IP (8 channels), CSAMT, MT and AMT. Implementation of the latter two methods required additional external amplifiers. Working with resistance methods using this instrument was rather expensive. In general, the effective implementation of a single set of instrumentation methods: MT, AMT, CSAMT, IP (all versions) made the V-8 unit best device of its time. Zonge's GDP-32 device enjoys well-deserved prestige among geophysicists with high performance in the implementation of methods CSAMT and IP. However, this device was not intended to implement the MT and AMT methods, which of course reduced its versatility. The designers of the proposed innovative multifunction EM instruments took into account the positive features of these devices, as well as their more recent clones to create a modern set of super multifunction electroprospecting equipment. The engineers set themselves the task of effective implementation if one set of equipment of all known ground electroprospecting methods (except GPR).

For the base to create the instrument were adopted technical requirements for devices implementing methods MT and AMT. That is:

- High sensitivity;
- Low noise level;
- A wide frequency range;
- Wide dynamic range.

An important new requirement was flexible configuration of magnetic and electrical channels. In parallel with portable recorders were created:

- Wideband geophysical current source;
- Low-noise non-polarizing electrodes;
- Highly sensitive wideband induction coil sensors of magnetic field components;
- Precision tripods for accurate installation and alignment of magnetic sensors on any soil or terrain; Set of accessories for the field work;
- Software for the operation of equipment, processing, and interpretation of the field data.

### **Multifunction EM Receivers and Wideband Transmitter of Electrical Current**

Receivers are built using a 4- or 8-channel base (Figure 1, A, B). To provide a convenient interface, the latest devices are equipped with a sensitive screen that allows for quick and easy selection of prospecting methods and parameters of EM field recording. The signal and all essential operational information is entered onto a removable SD card, which ensures a quick transfer of data to a computer for processing and interpretation. The magnetic and electric channels are interchangeable on both devices, at a command from the screen. This provides flexibility in selecting field installations for recording EM fields. Electroprospecting methods deployed by the device are grouped according to similar properties. The first group includes methods using the natural EM field of the earth: SP, MT, AMT, MVP. The next group of methods uses frequency domain controlled source and includes the following methods: CSAMT, FDEMS, SIP, phase, and amplitude IP. The third group of methods includes methods that use time domain signals: TDIP, FastTEM, MulTEM, LowTEM. The fourth group of methods includes methods of resistance: EP, VES, Electrotopography.



**Figure 1:** Multifunction geophysical EM receivers; A) 4-channel GEPARD-4A; B) 8-channel GEPARD-8A; C) Multifunction current source for geophysical EM techniques AT-100.

Devices are equipped with a GPS receiver, which allows for the automatic registration of survey site coordinates, as well as time stamps and synchronization of unlimited number of units when recording time series. Devices also have a flexible selection of data sampling rates, which gives field researchers a convenient instrument for identifying useful signals and suppressing EM field noise.

The portable generator AT-100 (Figure 1C) has a 100W output and a range of functions of constant current in the interval of 0.001 - 1.0 A. The current function is selected automatically based on load resistance. The generator has a dense grid of generated frequencies in the range of 0.001 – 50,000Hz. The frequency grid consists of 5 rows, derivable from: 1Hz, 1.22Hz, 1.33Hz, 1.5Hz, 1.66Hz. The frequency is changed by multiplying the respective row by  $2^n$ , where n can have a negative or a positive integer value. The frequency can be changed manually using the screen of the transmitter or the order of the generated frequencies and the generation time of each of them can be stored on a removable SD card. In this case, the transmitter will operate in an automatic mode.

### Sensors of Electrical and Magnetic Components

To measure the electric component of the EM field, grounded electric lines of 5-100m are used. Lines are grounded using low-noise non-polarizing electrodes. These electrodes have hermetic housing with a custom-formed porous membrane and a contact wire, to which is soldered a metal rod encased in a special gel containing the salt of the given metal. Electrodes (Figure 2) are manufactured on the basis of copper, lead, and silver. The silver electrodes are the most stable and environmentally friendly but they are distinguished by a relatively high cost and the complexity of manufacturing a stable gel fill. The large effective area of the special form membrane allows for low resistance grounding, which promotes high-quality measurements.



**Figure 2:** Low-noise non-polarizing electrodes; A) ACE-84 (copper); B) ALCE-84A (lead); C) ASCE-84AG (silver).

For methods using EM field frequency domain, induction coils have been used over the past two decades as EM field sensors. Each coil has a magnetic core, a multi-turn coil, a coil gauge, an electric shield and a low-noise wideband preamplifier board. Coils are connected to the device using noise-immune cables. Two sensors of different construction (Figure 3A, B) cover the entire frequency range of the exploration. AMS-15 has a frequency range of 50,000 – 1Hz, AMS-37 has a frequency range of 500 - 0.0001Hz. The sensors are hermetically sealed and can be buried underground, however a more effective installation is via specialized precision tripods.




**Figure 3:** Induction coil magnetic sensors; A) AMS-15, B) AMS-37, C) MTEM-200 airloop sensor for TDEM work.

To measure the derivative of the vertical component of the magnetic induction by time, airloop sensors equipped with a preamplifier are used (Figure 3C). The diameter of the frame for FastTEM is 60 cm (50 turns). The diameter of the frame for MulTEM is 1m (100 turns), as shown in Figure 5, and the side of the square loop for Low TEM is 25m (50 turns), (Ingerov, 2005).

### Precision Field Tripods

Precision field tripods are a unique instrument that appeared at the beginning of this century. This tool enabled a significant expansion in the scope of application of methods AMT, MVP, MT, CSAMT, as well as, in some cases, to significantly increase the productivity of field teams (Ingerov O., 2008, 2011, Ingerov I., 2011, 2014). 3-component tripods are the most effective, which can quickly and easily transform from portable to working configuration and vice versa (1-3 minutes), as well as be easily installed on any terrain. Single component tripods (Figure 4) can be used as effectively, however productivity increases derived from these are not as significant.




**Figure 4:** Precision field tripods for induction coil magnetic sensors; A) TRI-1/30; B) TRI-1/30/1; C) TRI-3/30; D) TRI- 1/50; E) TRI-1/50/1; F) TRI-3/50.


### Field Application Examples

One of the successful examples of precision field tripods application is recent gold exploration survey in Chukotka region using AMT method (Ermolin, 2014). Results of 2D inversion were done for all profiles using WinGLink software. Geoelectrical cross-section for profile 2 has been shown at Figure 7.  $\rho$ ,  $\varphi$  of TE-mode and TM-mode in frequency range from 10000 to 50 Hz and tipper amplitude ( $T_a$ ) in frequency range from 10000 to 3000 Hz was used for joint 2D inversion. MRS was achieved at 1.3%. Above Geoelectrical section the graphs of geological and geophysical parameters are shown. On the section at 200 meters west from the fault allocated high resistivity zone in the depth range 100 - 600 m. In the center of this area is drilled gold-bearing quartz vein. Over a high resistivity zone is also observed: positive gold, silver and arsenic anomaly in subsoil, positive anomaly of the phase difference of the longitudinal ( $\varphi_{xy}$ ) and transverse ( $\varphi_{yx}$ ) components, as well as local anomaly constant magnetic field of the Earth (T).

Such features can be regarded as the search criteria for the blind auriferous quartz veins. Most pronounced over the auriferous vein zone manifested negative anomaly phase of the tipper (blue curve on Figure 7-I). Noteworthy negative tipper phase values in the eastern part of the tipper profile (station 1280-1400). According to the results of 2D inversion there, at depths of 100-300 m high resistivity is detected as well as the gold anomaly in subsoil. All of this can be attributed to this anomalous object on promising gold ore mineralization. In the western part of the profile (around site - 100) also observed negative anomaly tipper phase and Magnetic field of the Earth. There are also appearances of vertical inhomogeneity on high the high resistive Geoelectrical section as well and as negative anomaly tipper phase and constant magnetic field of the Earth. The positive anomaly of molybdenum (little bit shifted to the east is present), which is typical for under ore body cut of gold-silver epithermal columns.



**Figure 5:** Survey result comparison. II – ground geochemistry results and difference between XY and YX impedance phase for 3000 and 20 Hz; III – Geoelectrical cross-section (2D inversion of AMT-MVP data); Legend for III: general drill holes results (1-6): 1 – main gold ore vein, 2 – thin ore veins, 3 – felsic ach tuff, 4 – strongly altered basalt lava, 5 - strongly alternated basalt tuff, 6 – andesite - basalt lava; geological mapping information (7-9): 7 – dyke position, 8 - fault zone position, 9 –andesite – rhyolite contact.



**Figure 6:** Application of precision tripods for induction magnetic sensor installation during field surveys: A) Gold exploration near Baikal Lake, Russia; B) Exploration for sulphide ore, Northern Canada; C) Investigations at Patom Crater, Russia; D) Equipment set for MVP method in transportation mode.

## Conclusions

- High sensitivity;
- A compact, portable multi-function electromagnetic system is designed and produced;
- The system includes all the required elements for conducting field work, processing field data and its interpretation;
- The system is distinguished by 4- and 8-channel receivers with a flexible configuration of magnetic and electric channels;
- Implementation of three-axis precision tripods for magnetic sensor installation allows productive field work to be carried out on any terrain and any season of the year;
- The system permits the use of the overwhelming majority of known electroprospecting methods.

## References

- Ermolin, E., et. al. 2014, Gold exploration in Chukotka region by using audimagnetotellurics, Extended Abstract, 22nd EM Induction Workshop, Weimar, Germany, August 24-30, 2014.
- Fox, L., 2008, Fifth generation of multifunctional equipment – ten years in the market, The 19th International Workshop on Electromagnetic Induction in the Earth, Beijing, China, Abstracts, Vol.1, 432-436.
- Ingerov, I., 2011, Method of multifrequency magnetovariational profiling (MVP), EMS-2011, St. Petersburg, Russia, Abstracts, Vol.2, 449-454.
- Ingerov, I., 2014, Multifunction electroprospecting instruments for complex investigations, mapping, monitoring and exploration in a wide depth interval, Extended Abstract, 22nd EM Induction Workshop, Weimar, Germany, August 24-30, 2014.
- Ingerov, O., 2005, Application of electroprospecting for hydrocarbon exploration, Notes of the (St. Petersburg) Mining Institute, 162, 15-25.
- Ingerov, O., 2011, Current trends in the development of electroprospecting hardware set for ground and marine surveys, EMS-2011, St. Petersburg, Russia, Abstracts, Vol.1, 86-101.